OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
53	Number of system-level initiatives implemented	The number of current system-level initiatives implemented during the reporting period. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of current system-level initiatives	
54	**OJJDP grant funds awarded for system-level improvement**	The amount of OJJDP grant funds in whole dollars that are awarded to initiatives to improve child victimization system practices, polices, and/or procedures on a system-wide basis. Preferred data source is program records.	A. OJJDP grant funds awarded for services	
55	Number of Memoranda of Understanding developed	The number of Memoranda of Understanding developed during the reporting period. Include all formal partnering or coordination agreements. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of MOUs developed	
56	Number of system-wide IT improvements initiated	The number of system-wide information technology improvements (development, operation, maintenance, and incorporation of new technologies) implemented during the reporting period. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of system-level IT improvements implemented	
57	Number of other system-level improvement initiatives implemented	The number of other (non-IT) system-wide initiatives implemented during the reporting period. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of other system-level improvements implemented	
58	Number and percentage of program staff or other relevant youth-serving professionals trained	Number and percentage of program staff or other relevant youth-serving professionals trained during the reporting period. The number is the raw number of program staff receiving any formal training relevant to the program or their position as program staff. Include any training from any source or medium received during the reporting period as long as receipt of training can be verified. Training does not have to have been completed during the reporting period. To obtain the percentage trained, divide the raw number by the total number of program staff. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of program staff or other relevant professionals who participated in training B. Total number of program staff C. A/B	
59	Number of hours of training program staff or other relevant youth-serving professionals trained	The number of training hours provided to program staff or other relevant youth-serving professionals during the reporting period. Training hours include both in-house and external training. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of hours of program staff (or other relevant youth-serving professionals) training delivered by program B. Number of hours of program staff training delivered by any other source C. Total number of hours of training received (A+B)	
60	Number of program materials developed during the reporting period	The number of program materials that were developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials such as program overviews, client workbooks, lists of local service providers. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms such as sign-in sheets or client tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Count of number of materials developed	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
61	Number of system planning activities conducted	Number of system planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Planning activities include creation of task forces or inter-agency committees, meetings held, needs assessments undertaken, etc.. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of system planning activities undertaken	
62	Number of agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded	The number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of programs and/or agencies. Include polices that are relevant to the topic area of the program or that affect program operations. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded	
63	Percent of staff trained in drug court procedures (DC JUVENILE)		A. Number of staff trained in drug court procedures B. Total number of staff C. Percent of staff trained	
64	Percent of staff trained in Reclaiming Futures procedures (DC JUVENILE)		A. Number of staff trained in Reclaiming Futures procedures B. Total number of staff C. Percent of staff trained	
65	Percent of programs/initiatives participating in the Juvenile Drug Court evaluation (DC JUVENILE)		A. Number of juvenile drug court programs/initiatives participating in the evaluation B. Total number of programs/initiatives C. Percent of programs/initiatives participating	
66	Percentage of children who are recovered (NCMEC)		A. Number of overall child recoveries B. Total number of missing children C. Percent of recoveries	
67	Percentage of deliverables completed during the reporting period (PSC)		A. Number of deliverables completed B. Total number of deliverables planned C. Percent of planned deliverables completed	
68	Increase in community awareness of Project Safe Childhood (PSC)		A. Survey results showing an increase in the number of community members who are aware of the purpose of Project Safe Childhood	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
69	Improvement in project participants' attitude about Internet Safety, protecting children from exploitation, and Project Safe Childhood (PSC)		A. Number of surveys showing positive change in participants' attitudes about the risks posed by Internet predators and steps families and communities can take to protect children. B. Number of surveys administered C. Percentage improvement in project participants' attitudes about the risks posed by Internet predators and steps families and communities can take to protect children.	
70	Percent of proposed training and education events delivered over the reporting period (PSC)		A. Number of training and education events delivered during the reporting period B. Total number of training and education events proposed during the reporting period C. Percent of proposed training and education events delivered	
71	Percent of anticipated participants who successfully complete training and education programming (PSC)		A. Number of participants who successfully complete training and education programming during the reporting period B. Total number of anticipated participants during the reporting period C. Percent of anticipated participants successfully completing training and education programming	
72	Percent of participants who rated training as "good" or "excellent" (PSC)		A. Number of participants who rated training as "good" or "excellent" during the reporting period B. Total number of participants during the reporting period C. Percent of participants who rated the training as "good" or excellent"	
73	Number of onsite training sessions provided (TYP TTA)		A. Number of onsite training sessions provided.	
74	Percentage of evaluation/feedback scores above 85 for all training events sponsored annually (TYP TTA)		A. Number of evaluation/feedback scores over 85 for all training events sponsored annually B. Total number of evaluations received C. Percent of total evaluations with scores over 85	
75	Number of offsite training sessions provided (TYP TTA)		A. Number of offsite training sessions provided.	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
76	Number of T&TA materials developed (TYP TTA)		A. Number of T&TA materials developed	
77	Number of technical assistance deliveries (TYP TTA)		A. Number of technical assistance deliveries	
78	Number of program materials developed (SMART)	The number of program materials related to juvenile justice system improvements that were developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials such as program overviews, client workbooks, lists of local service providers. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms such as sign-in sheets or tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Count of number of materials developed	
79	Number of program staff/community members/ professionals trained (SMART)		A. Number of program staff/community members/professionals trained during the reporting period	
80	Number of training requests received during the reporting period	Number of training requests received during the reporting period. Requests can come from individuals or organizations served.	A. Number of training requests received during the reporting period.	
81	Number of technical assistance requests received during the reporting period	Number of technical assistance requests received during the reporting period. Requests can come from individuals or organizations served.	A. Number of technical assistance requests received during the reporting period	
82	Number of planning or training events held during the reporting period	Number of planning or training activities held during the reporting period. Planning and training activities include creation of task forces or inter-agency committees, meetings held, needs assessments undertaken, etc.. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of planning or training activities held during the reporting period	
83	Number of technical assistance events held during the reporting period	Number of technical assistance events held during the reporting period. Technical assistance events include in-person, telephone, or on-line assistance. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of technical assistance events held during the reporting period	
84	Number of people trained during the reporting period	Number of people trained during the reporting period. The number is the raw number of people receiving any formal training relevant to the program or their position as program staff. Include any training from any source or medium received during the reporting period as long as receipt of training can be verified. Training does not have to have been completed during the reporting period. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of people trained during the reporting period	
85	Number and percent of training recipients with increased knowledge of program area		A. Number of people trained during the reporting period who report increased knowledge B. Number of people trained during the reporting period and returning surveys C. Percent (A/B)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
86	Number of programs and/or agencies that are implementing an evidence-based program or practice (short term)	Number and percentage of programs and/or agencies that have implemented an evidence-based program or practice model during the reporting period. Evidence-based program or practice models include program models that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP?s Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA?s Model Programs, state model program resources, etc.)	A. Number of programs and/or agencies that have implemented a best practice model during the reporting period B. Number of programs and/or agencies C. A/B	
87	Number of youth or youth and families served with whom an evidence based program or practice was used (long term)	The number and percent of youth with whom an evidence based program or practice was used. Evidence based program and practice models include program models that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP?s Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA?s Model Programs, state model program resources, etc.)	A. Number of youth or youth and families with whom an evidence based program or practice was used during the reporting period. B. Number of youth or youth and families served during the reporting period. C. Percent A/B	
88	Number of programs and/or agencies that are sharing automated data (short term)	Number and percentage of programs and/or agencies using automated data systems that enable the appropriate sharing of justice information during the reporting period	A. Number and percentage of programs and/or agencies that are sharing automated data	
89	Number of programs and/or agencies that have agreements to use common intake and/or assessment forms (short term)	Number of programs and/or agencies that have established agreements to use common intake forms or assessment tools during reporting period. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of programs and/or agencies	
90	Number of systems that have established inter-agency planning bodies (short term)	Number of planning bodies or inter-agency coordinating groups established during the reporting period	A. Number of planning bodies or inter-agency coordinating groups established during the reporting period	
91	Number or percentage of participants trained who are satisfied with the training (short term)	The number of participants who report being satisfied with the training in terms of areas such as content, pacing, relevance to delinquency-related programming, applicability, and related areas. Preferred data source is self-report data collected using training evaluation or assessment forms.	A. Number of participants trained during the reporting period who report being satisfied with the training B. Number of participants who returned surveys or assessments C. A/B	
92	Number of system-level recommendations that have been implemented (intermediate term)	Number of system-level recommendations that have been implemented	A. Count of number of recommendations implemented	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
93	Number of programs within a system that have modified their policies and/or procedures based on a system recommendation (intermediate term)	Number of program modifications made due to results of evaluations or research studies	A. Count of number of program modifications	
94	Percent of people exhibiting an increased knowledge of the program area during the reporting period (short term)	The number of people who exhibit an increased knowledge of the program area after participating in training. Use of pre and post tests is preferred.	A. Number of people exhibiting an increase in knowledge post-training. B. Number of people trained during the reporting period. C. Percent of people trained who exhibited increased knowledge (A/B)	
95	Number of program policies changed or rescinded during the reporting period (short term)	The number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures changed, improved, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of programs and/or agencies. Include polices that are relevant to the topic area of the program or that affect program operations. Preferred data source is program records	A. Number of programs policies changed during the reporting period B. Number of programs policies rescinded during the reporting period	
96	Percent of organizations reporting improvements in operations based on training and technical assistance (TTA) (short term)	The number and percent of organizations reporting improvements in operations as a result of TTA one to six months post-service.	A. The number of organizations reporting improvements in operations as a result of TTA one to six months post-service B. The total number of organizations served by TTA during the reporting period C. Percent of organizations reporting improvements (A/B)	
97	Percent of those served by training and technical assistance (TTA) who reported implementing an evidence based program and/or practice during or after the TTA (short term)	Number and percent of programs served by TTA that reported implementing an evidence-based program / and or practice during or after the TTA. Evidence based programs and practices include program models that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse.	A. Number of programs served by TTA that reported using an evidence-based program and/or practice. B. Number of programs served by TTA. C. Percent of programs served by TTA that report using an evidence-based program and/or practice (A/B)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
98	Number and percent of program youth who **OFFEND** during the reporting period (Short Term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during this reporting period. Ex. If I am serving 100 youth in my program, A would be 100. If I am following up with 50 of them, B would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I'm tracking, if 25 of them were arrested or had a delinquent offense during this reporting period, then C would be 25.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Number of program youth tracked during this reporting period C. Of B, number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period E. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period F. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period G. Percent offending (C/B)	
99	Number and percent of program youth who **OFFEND** during the reporting period (Long Term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program. Ex. I have a lot of youth who exited my program 6-12 months ago, but we are only tracking 100 of them, so A is 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period, so B is 65.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking B. Of A, the number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period E. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period F. Percent of Long Term offending (B/A)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
100	Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND (Short Term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during this reporting period. Ex. If I am serving 100 youth in my program, A would be 100. If I am following up with 50 of them, B would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I'm tracking, if 25 of them were arrested or had a delinquent offense during this reporting period, then C would be 25.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Number of program youth tracked during this reporting period C. Of B, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period E. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period F. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period G. Percent recidivism (C/B)	
101	Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND (Long Term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program. Ex. I have a lot of youth who exited my program 6-12 months ago, but we are only tracking 100 of them, so A is 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period, so B is 65.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking B. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period E. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period F. Percent of Long Term recidivism (B/A)	
102	Number and percent of program youth who are VICTIMIZED (Short Term)	The number and percent of youth who were victimized. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Number of program youth tracked during this reporting period for victimization C. Of B, the number of program youth who were victimized D. Percent victimized (C/B)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM

PC 3: SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
103	Number and percent of program youth who are **VICTIMIZED** (Long Term)	The number and percent of youth who were victimized. Appropriate for any youth-serving program.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking for victimization B. Of A, the number of program youth who were victimized during this reporting period C. Percent of Long Term victimization (B/A)	
104	Number and percent of program youth who are **RE-VICTIMIZED** (Short Term)	The number and percent of youth who were re-victimized. Appropriate for any youth-serving program.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Number of program youth tracked during this reporting period for victimization C. Of B, the number of program youth who were re-victimized D. Percent re-victimization (C/B)	
105	Number and percent of program youth who are **RE-VICTIMIZED** (Long Term)	The number and percent of youth who were victimized or re-victimized during the reporting period.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking for victimization B. Of A, the number of program youth who were re-victimized during this reporting period C. Percent of Long Term re-victimization (B/A)	